ATTIN GORY BAYGHAM

1 August 26, 1992

2 Rocky Flats Environmental Monitoring Council

3 1536 Cole Elvd., Suite 325

4 Golden, Colorado 80401

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS
AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

Attn: Ginger Swartz From: Walt Hessler, Jr. WM A

6 Re: Solar Evaporation Ponds

Varlen

1992

7 Ginger:

5

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

25

I forward this recently obtained information relevant to the ongoing investigation and cleanup workplans for Operable Unit 4, the Solar Evaporation Ponds. Please review the attached brief testimony as revealed to my client Mr. John Tasker, and the corresponding interrogatories which I've structured so that his document might be properly investigated.

My client and I worked together in 1976, at a site near the Rocky Flats facility outside the Federal boundaries. We have been researching various items of concern since then. Currently he is in contact with a few employees who worked at the RF site in the early 1960's into the mid-1970's. These employees were welders and responded to numerous "situations" which happened in the 900 region, northeast of Building 776. Please note that they were "suited" quite often to work in these hot areas.

John and I have reviewed a few documents whereby the following sections are referenced:

24 -> CEARP April 1986 / Section III, page III-9;

-> CEARP April 1986 / Section V, page V-19; specifically notes

26 that the ponds "...were constructed over an extended period,

27 beginning in the mid-1950s; and ...the three B ponds were

28 constructed during 1960;" whereas, "...pond C was constructed

29 in 1970." "Pond C was constructed over the original clay-lined

30 ponds;" "...ponds were a couple of clay-lined ponds."

31 -> Rocky Flats History: ChemRisk Report / Draft February 1992 32 pages 203 and 204; brief discussion regarding the Pluto Program
 33 where "...CPC liquid waste was discharged into the solar ponds."

Numerous questions become relevant, however, one particular question: If pond C was constructed in 1970, and pond C was constructed over the original clay-lined ponds, as stated in CEARP, where is the "other" original clay-lined pond?

Referencing page 54 (Figure 3-6 circa 1971), 55 (Figure 3-7 circa 1980), and page 56 (Figure 3-8 circa 1990) of the <u>ChemRisk Report</u>, please note the location of the various ponds. They are labeled 207A, 207B (north, center, south), and 207C. Now please review page 52 (Figure 3-5 circa 1964) and look at the aerial photograph (Figure 3-3 circa August 1954). The location of the unlabeled pond is positioned in an area which we could label the

ADMIN RECORD

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

A-0U04-0U0804

38

39

40

41

42

43 44 Hessler: J.Tasker's Comments re: 207 Solar Evaporation Ponds
"original clay-lined ponds." Another particularily odd item on
the exact location (1954 photo) of the unlabeled pond is what
appears to be a stack. Notice the location relevant to Building
771. Was the stack demolished and reconstructed? Was the
material buried in the unlabeled pond? What was the size and
depth of the original ponds?, and of the present pond C?

The attached testimony as stated to Mr. Tasker, is directly relevant to this area of the Solar Evaporation Ponds. Evidently, his contact witnessed the burying of various metal components in the exact location of these ponds (possible circa 1964-1969). The concern is that radioactive components could be buried beneath the clay-lined ponds. Therefore the numerous questions: how deep are the metal components?, what was the structure?, what substance was burning when it was buried?, and why hasn't any of this data been revealed or reported in CEARP, ChemRisk or any other report?

In reviewing the attached testimony, I correlate the event to be similiar in description to the fire described in the ChemRisk Report (p.237 and 238). The employee stated they cut up a truck and other metal pieces, and buried it near the pond area. It would be conceivable to correlate the fire description with this event, whereas the burning metal components were buried as they burned. It appears certain machine/metal components were on pallets which were stored to close together, ignited, and were pushed into a hole to be buried. The chemical reaction described as a bright sparking and a smoldering fire, suggests specific contaminated metals were buried.

Mr. Tasker's contact is primarily concerned with the present cleanup efforts that would overlook items beneath the solar ponds. The soil samples to be taken would probably utilize a drill rig positioned near the edges of the solar pond area. The depth would be limited to bedrock, thereby either missing the metal components all together, or having the drill bit bounce off what appears to be solid rock. Whereas conceivably the rock in this case would be a metal component. The soil sample result would indicate a contaminate which is finger printed to the previously reported substances dumped into the solar ponds. The concern is that the proposed testing might not provide the best investigative results.

Please review this information with skepticism, due to the fact that 25 years have passed. The testimony provided to Mr. Tasker is somewhat accurate, however, my efforts to correlate the data was intended to be helpful, not misleading. Thank you for your time in handling this request.

Respectfully, Messey, Walt Hessler, Jr.

(303) 238-8180

attachment: original letter and enlarged copy

cc: [] Tom Lukow, DOE
[] Martin Hestmark, EPA
[] Gary Baugham, CDH